



$\alpha_v \beta_3$ Antagonists Based on a Central Thiophene Scaffold

Anusch Peyman,^{a,*} Karl-Heinz Scheunemann,^a David W. Will,^a Jochen Knolle,^a Volkmar Wehner,^a Gerhard Breipohl,^a Hans Ulrich Stilz,^a Denis Carniato,^b Jean-Marie Ruxer,^b Jean-Francois Gourvest,^b Marielle Auberval,^b Bernard Doucet,^b Roland Baron,^b Martine Gaillard,^b Thomas R. Gadek^c and Sarah Bodary^c

^aAventis Pharma Deutschland GmbH, D-65926 Frankfurt, Germany ^bAventis Pharma S. A., 102 Route de Noisy, 93235 Romainville Cédex, France ^cGenentech, 1 DNA Way, South San Francisco, CA 94080, USA

Received 21 March 2001; revised 3 May 2001; accepted 17 May 2001

Abstract—A series of novel, highly potent $\alpha_{\nu}\beta_{3}$ antagonists based on a thiophene scaffold and containing an acylguanidine as an Arg-mimetic is described. A number of structural features, such as cyclic versus open guanidine and a variety of lipophilic side chains, carbamates, sulfonamides and β-amino acids were explored with respect to inhibition of $\alpha_{\nu}\beta_{3}$ mediated cell adhesion and selectivity versus $\alpha_{IIb}\beta_{3}$ binding. In addition, compound 19 was found to be active in the TPTX model of osteoporosis. © 2001 Published by Elsevier Science Ltd.

The vitronectin receptor, also known as $\alpha_v \beta_3$, is a member of the integrin family of cell surface receptors which play a major role in cell adhesion and signal transduction processes. Integrins are α/β heterodimers composed of at least 15 α subunits and eight β subunits. The β_3 class of the integrin family, $\alpha_{IIb}\beta_3$ (GPIIb/IIIa or fibringen receptor) and $\alpha_v \beta_3$ (vitronectin receptor), has received special attention in recent drug discovery efforts.² $\alpha_{IIb}\beta_3$ is prevalent on platelets and plays a role in thromboembolic disorders while $\alpha_v \beta_3$ has been implicated in tumor progression, angiogenesis and restenosis.² In addition, $\alpha_v \beta_3$ is the dominant receptor for mediating the attachment of osteoclasts to bone during bone resorption³ which makes it an attractive target for the treatment of osteoporosis. $\alpha_v \beta_3$ binds to extracellular matrix adhesive proteins containing an RGD sequence such as vitronectin, fibrinogen, bone sialo protein II, von Willebrand factor, and osteopontin. Therefore, we^{4,5} and others^{3,6} have investigated peptidomimetic approaches to identify $\alpha_v \beta_3$ antagonists. In this communication we describe a new series of highly potent and selective RGD peptidomimetic $\alpha_v \beta_3$ antagonists which are based on a central thiophene scaffold and which contain acylguanidines as an Arg-replacement.

Chemistry

The synthesis of the $\alpha_v\beta_3$ antagonist 1 is outlined in Scheme 1. 5-Bromothiophene-2-carboxylic acid is reacted with acrylic acid methyl ester catalyzed by $PdCl_2(PPh_2Ferrocene)_2/NEt_3$ in acetonitrile to give compound 2 (82%) which is subsequently reduced by catalytic hydrogenation to give 5-(2-methoxycarbonylethyl)thiophene-2-carboxylic acid 3. Compound 3 is coupled with (*S*)-Cbz-diaminopropionic acid *tert*-butylester (Z-DAP-O*t*Bu) using TOTU in DMF to give 4 in 95% yield. The acylguanidino compound 5 is formed by reaction of the ester with guanidine in THF (35%), which after deprotection with TFA yields antagonist 1.

Scheme 2 shows an alternative synthesis of the central building block: 2-thiophencarbaldehyde is reacted with *N*, *N*′-dimethylethylenediamine to give 1,3-dimethyl-2-(2-thienyl)-imidazolidine **6** which is lithiated and reacted with Boc-anhydride to give compound **7** in 71% yield. Compound **7** is converted to 5-formyl-thiophene-2-carboxylic acid *tert*-butyl ester **8** by treatment with methyl iodide and subsequently condensed with ethyl (diethyl phosphono)acetate to give compound **9** (53%). Catalytic hydrogenation of **9** followed by cleavage of the *tert*-butylester yields **10** which is again condensed with Z-DAPO*t*Bu to **11**. The cyclic acylguanidino group in **12** is formed by reaction of **11** with 2-amino-3,4,5,6-tetra-

^{*}Corresponding author. Fax: +49-69-305-80261; e-mail: anusch.peyman@aventis.com

HOOC
$$S$$
 Br S HOOC S COOMe S

Scheme 1. Reagents and conditions: (a) PdCl₂(PPh₂ferrocene)₂, acrylic acid methyl ester, NEt₃, MeCN/85 °C, 20 h; (b) H₂, Pd/C, MeOH/rt, 7 h; (c) Z-DAP-OtBu, TOTU, DIEA, DMF/rt, 6 h; (d) guanidine, THF/reflux, 2.5 h; (e) TFA, DCM/rt, 3.5 h.

Scheme 2. Reagents and conditions: (a) MeNH(CH₂)₂NHMe, toluene/reflux(-H₂O), 4 h; (b) *n*BuLi, TEMED, Boc₂O, THF/-70 °C for 4 h, rt for 16 h; (c) MeI, Et₂O/rt, 16 h; (d) P(O)(EtO)₂CH₂COOEt, NaH, THF/60 °C, 3 h; (e) (i) H₂ (4 bar), Pd/C, EtOH/50 °C, 7 h; (ii) TFA, DCM/rt, 5 h; (f) Z-DAP-O*t*Bu, TOTU, DIEA, DMF/rt, 8 h; (g) 2-amino-3,4,5,6-tetrahydropyrimidine, DMF/rt, 8 h; (h) TFA, DCM/rt, 4 h.

hydropyrimidine in DMF (45%) and final cleavage of the *tert*-butylester with TFA yields $\alpha_v \beta_3$ antagonist 13.

Scheme 3 illustrates the synthesis of compounds containing a cyclopropyl group as spacer between the central thiophene scaffold and the acylguanidino group. Compound 2 is coupled with Z-DAP-OtBu to give 14. The cyclopropyl group in 15 is formed by reaction with diazomethane under Pd(OAc)₂ catalysis and $\alpha_v \beta_3$ antagonist 16 is obtained as in Scheme 2 by reaction of 15 with 2-amino-3,4,5,6-tetrahydropyrimidine in DMF and TFA cleavage of the tert-butylester. Other compounds containing the cyclopropyl spacer were obtained in an analogous manner. The preparation of compounds containing the adamantylmethyl carbamate side chain is outlined in Scheme 4. The benzyloxycarbamate group in compound 4 (Scheme 1) is removed by catalytic hydrogenation to give a free amino group in 17 which is reacted with N-(1-adamantylmethoxycarbonyloxy)-succinimide to give 18 (100%) which,

after conversion to the acylguanidine (71%) and deprotection, gives the $\alpha_v \beta_3$ antagonist 19. Alternatively, compounds containing this side chain can be obtained by the use of 2-(1-adamantylmethyloxycarbonylamino)-3-aminopropionic acid instead of Z-DAP-OtBu. Antagonists containing a sulfonamide side chain are obtained from the same intermediate 17 by reaction with the corresponding sulfonylchlorides, followed by conversion to the acylguanidine and deprotection. Antagonists containing a β -amino acid component are obtained from intermediate 3 by condensation with the corresponding β -amino acid, followed again by conversion to the acylguanidine and deprotection.

Results and Discussion

One of the most interesting challenges in this area is the design of selective antagonists since both $\alpha_v \beta_3$ and $\alpha_{IIb} \beta_3$ bind to the same RGD recognition motif, and

Scheme 3. Reagents and conditions: (a) Z-DAP-OtBu, TOTU, DIEA, DMF/rt, 8 h; (b) Et₂O/THF, 1:1, CH₂N₂, Pd(OAc)₂ 0 °C, 2 h; (c) (i) 2-amino-3,4,5,6-tetrahydropyrimidine, DMF/rt, 6 h; (ii) TFA, DCM/rt, 4 h.

Scheme 4. Reagents and conditions: (a) H₂, Pd/C, 5 N HCl in MeOH/rt, 14h; (b) N-(1-adamantylmethoxycarbonyloxy)-succinimide, NaHCO₃, H₂O, THF/rt, 4h; (c) 2-amino-3,4,5,6-tetrahydropyrimidine, DMF/rt, 5h; (d) TFA, DCM/rt, 3h.

subtle differences in the ligand structure may determine selectivity. The IC_{50} values for the inhibition of binding of fibrinogen to $\alpha_{IIb}\beta_3$ and of Kistrin (a disintegrin with high affinity to $\alpha_{\nu}\beta_3$) to $\alpha_{\nu}\beta_3$ are summarized in Tables 1–3. In addition, a more stringent whole-cell assay was used to differentiate between $\alpha_{\nu}\beta_3$ antagonists: human 293 cells were engineered to overexpress the $\alpha_{\nu}\beta_3$ receptor (>106 copies/cell) and were used to assess the potency of $\alpha_{\nu}\beta_3$ antagonists by the inhibition of their binding to immobilized vitronectin.

Guanidine mimetic

The acylguanidines used in this study produce $\alpha_v \beta_3$ antagonists with excellent potency. The comparison of 1 and 13 (Table 1) shows that cyclic acylguanidines lead to a further enhancement of potency, which becomes even more evident in the 293-cell attachment assay. At the same time the selectivity towards the fibrinogen receptor $\alpha_{\text{IIb}}\beta_3$ (GPIIb/IIIa) is increased. The same ranking is observed for the β -amino acid containing antagonists 25 and 26 (Table 3) and a similar SAR has been observed earlier for normal guanidines. ^{4,5}

Spacer

The spacer separating the central thiophene scaffold and the acylguanidine moiety is of great importance. Clearly the vinyl spacer is less favorable as can be seen from a comparison of 19, 20, and 21. Obviously it forces the

Table 1. Thiophene-based $\alpha_{\nu}\beta_3$ antagonists with lipophilic carbamate side chains

$$GU = X$$

$$GU = X$$

$$HN$$

$$COOH$$

$$Except 1: GU = X$$

$$HN$$

$$HN$$

$$HN$$

$$HN$$

$$HN$$

	R	X	$\frac{K/\alpha_v\beta_3}{IC_{50}\ (nM)}$	Vn/293 IC ₅₀ (nM)	$\begin{array}{c} Fg/\alpha_{IIb}\beta_3 \\ IC_{50}\ (nM) \end{array}$
1	**************************************	CH2-CH2	39	837	2900
13	***************************************	CH2-CH2	7	63	4500
16	**************************************	What Committee	60	41	2450
19	The Committee of the Co	CH2-CH2	2	26	480
20	The Company	Mary man	1100	> 10,000	1000
21	² t ₄	NAME AND ADDRESS OF THE PARTY O	10	69	2800

The IC_{50} values denote the concentration required to reduce binding of fibrinogen (Fg) to $\alpha_{IIb}\beta_3$ and Kistrin to $\alpha_v\beta_3$ by 50% (K/ $\alpha_v\beta_3$) or 293 cell attachment to vitronectin by 50% (Vn/293)

acylguanidino group into an unfavorable position, since the conjugation ranges from the thiophene ring through the double bond through the carbonyl group and even the guanidino group, which all end up in a single plane. On the other hand, the ethylene spacer and the cyclopropyl group result in similar potencies as can be seen

Table 2. Thiophene-based $\alpha_{\nu}\beta_3$ antagonists with sulfonamide side chains

	R	$K/\alpha_v\beta_3$ IC_{50} (nM)	Vn/293 IC ₅₀ (nM)	$Fg/\alpha_{IIb}\beta_3$ IC_{50} (nM)
33		3	47	235
34		2	13	80
35	~	2	6	17
36	/CI	2	12	55
37	Ph	3	26	9
38	W	2	7	35
39	~	3	12	65
40	•	2	10	11
41	****	4	90	925
42	CF ₃	5	313	500
43	m	2	80	40
44	,cı	2	91	17
45	, CI	4	167	255
46	₩~CH ₃	5	69	1350
47	****	4	22	105

from various examples 19–21 or 13–16. Considering that the cyclopropyl-containing antagonists consist of diastereomeric mixtures, one may speculate that one of the pure forms could show some advantages over the open chain ethylene spacer.

Lipophilic side chain

The influence of the lipophilic side chain was investigated in three different series: the carbamate side chains (Table 1); the sulfonamide side chains (Table 2); and the β -amino acids (Table 3). Of those series, the β -amino acid containing $\alpha_v \beta_3$ antagonists are less active in the 293-cell attachment assay, despite good activity in the standard binding assay. We have also observed this difference in other series when β -amino acids were incor-

Table 3. Thiophene-based $\alpha_v \beta_3$ antagonists with β -amino acid side chains. All compounds are 1:1 racemic mixtures with the exception of **24**, which is the pure *S*-enantiomer

	R	X	$\frac{K/\alpha_v\beta_3}{IC_{50}(nM)}$	Vn/293 IC ₅₀ (nM)	$Fg/\alpha_{IIb}\beta_3 \\ IC_{50}(nM)$
22	****	CH2-CH2	175	_	> 10000
23	~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~	CH2 CH2	35	3610	> 10000
24	(S)	CH2-CH2	9	1430	> 10000
25		CH2-CH2	10	1730	> 10000
26		CH2 CH2	33	> 10000	10000
27		m	32	> 5000	> 10000
28		Man	8	41000	> 10000
29			16	> 5000	> 10000
30	~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~	TCH2-CH2	120	15000	> 10000
31	-Ph	CH2-CH2	69	10500	> 10000
32	****	CH2-CH2	175	20900	> 100000

porated in the 'C-terminus' of RGD peptidomimetics. On the other hand, this series shows excellent selectivities versus $\alpha_{IIb}\beta_3$. Bulky aromatic side chains are preferred; see, for example, the increase in potency from 22 to 25. However, *ortho* substitution of the aromatic side chain, as in 32 containing the 1-naphthyl group, does not seem to enhance potency. The SAR for the spacer and the guanidino group are the same as in the other series. Two different side chains, benzyl and 1-adamantylmethyl, were incorporated in the carbamate series. The bulky adamantyl moiety offers a slight advantage over the flat benzyl group, enhancing potency by a factor of 2 (cf. 13 and 19) when used in combination with the ethylene spacer. The opposite is the case when the cyclopropyl spacer is used. The selectivity versus $\alpha_{IIb}\beta_3$ is also excellent in this series and ranges from 40 to 650, with the exception of compound 20 which contains the vinyl-spacer. An interesting SAR is observed in the sulfonamide side chain series (Table 2). No major differences in potency are observed in the $K/\alpha_v\beta_3$ binding assay, however, when the 293-cell attachment assay is used, the SAR becomes more evident. Clearly, aromatic side chains are preferred over alkyl chains. IC₅₀ values for antagonists containing alkyl side chains are in the 100-nM range (41–46) with the exception of the *n*-propyl group, which has an IC₅₀ value of 22 nM. Antagonists with aromatic sulfonamide side chains (34-39) are extremely potent with IC₅₀ values in the low nanomolar range. However, the affinity for $\alpha_{IIb}\beta_3$ is also increased. Compound 37, which contains the biphenyl sulfonamide side chain, shows an IC₅₀ of 3 nM for $K/\alpha_v\beta_3$ binding and 9 nM for Fg/ $\alpha_{IIb}\beta_3$ binding and is a representative for a dual inhibitor.

 $\alpha_v \beta_3$ antagonist 19 was chosen to evaluate the potency of this series in bone resorption in the in vivo thyroidectomized-parathyroidectomized (TPTX) rat model, which measures the ability of a compound to inhibit the parathyroid hormone stimulated calcemic response in hypocalcemic TPTX rats.⁸ In this model, upon subcutaneous administration, 19 showed a dose dependent effect, the inhibition was -6% (0.1 mg/kg×2), -26% (0.3 mg/kg×2) and -56% (1.0 mg/kg×2).

In conclusion, we have discovered a new class of potent and selective $\alpha_v\beta_3$ antagonists based on a central thiophene scaffold and the acylguanidine Arg-mimetic. The compounds are capable of inhibiting bone resorption in vivo and therefore are promising drug substances for the treatment of osteoporosis.

References and Notes

- 1. Hynes, R. O. Cell 1992, 69, 11.
- 2. Miller, W. H.; Keenan, R. M.; Willette, R. N.; Lark, M. W. *Drug Disc. Today* **2000**, *5*, 397.
- 3. Hartman, G. D.; Duggan, M. E. *Expert Opin. Invest. Drugs* **2000**, *9*, 1281.
- 4. Peyman, A.; Wehner, V.; Knolle, J.; Stilz, H. U.; Breipohl, G.; Scheunemann, K. H.; Carniato, D.; Ruxer, J. M.; Gourvest,

- J. F.; Gadek, T. R.; Bodary, S. *Bioorg. Med. Chem. Lett.* **2000**, *10*, 179.
- 5. Peyman, A.; Gourvest, J.-F.; Gadek, T. R.; Knolle, J. *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.* **2000**, *39*, 2874.
- 6. Excellently reviewed by: Duggan, M. E.; Hutchinson, J. H. Expert Opin. Ther. Pat. 2000, 10, 1367.
- 7. Green, L. J.; Brierley, V. H.; Humphries, M. *J. Biochem. Soc. Trans.* **1995**, *23*, 505 S.
- 8. Fisher, J. E.; Caulfield, M. P.; Sato, M.; Quartuccio, H. A.; Gould, R. J.; Garsky, V. M.; Rodan, G. A.; Rosenblatt, M. *Endocrinology* **1993**, *132*, 1411.